### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY NEWARK DIVISION

IN RE: PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)

**MDL No. 2789(CCC)(MF)** 

Case No.: 2:17-md-2789

This Document Relates to: Charles L. Mcarthur v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, et al.

Docket No.: 2:19-cv-

### SECOND AMENDED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Second Amended Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through their undersigned counsel and as permitted by Case Management Order No. 7. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form* Complaint and Jury Demand in In re: Proton-Pump Inhibitor Products Liability Litigation, MDL 2789, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to Case Management Order No. 7.

In addition to those causes of action contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiffs shall add and include them herein.

### **IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES**

### **Identification of Plaintiff(s)**

Name of individual injured/deceased due to the use of PPI Product(s): Charles L. 1. Mearthur.

2.	Co	Consortium Claim(s): The	following individual(s) allege damages for loss of				
cons	ortiun	m:	·				
3.	Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:						
	a.	Plaintiff,	, is filing this case in a representative capacity as				
		the	of the Estate of,				
		deceased.					
	b.	. Survival Claim(s): The	following individual(s) allege damages for survival				
		claims, as permitted under	r applicable state laws:				
4.	As	as a result of using PPI Produced	ducts, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered pain and suffering,				
emot	ional	l distress, mental anguish, a	nd personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to				
have	been	n caused by the use of the Pl	PI Products identified in Paragraph 10, below, but not				
limit	ed to	the following:					
	3	X injury to himself/ho	erself				
	_	injury to the person	n represented				
	_	wrongful death					
	_	survivorship actio	n				
	7 <u>4</u>	X economic loss					
	_	loss of services					
	<del>-</del>	loss of consortium	1				
	-		es not yet known, discovered or identified				

## **Identification of Defendants**

Plaintiff(s)/Decedent is/are suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that 5. apply):

**Abbott Laboratories** 

- AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP X
- AstraZeneca LP X

GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare Holdings (US) LLC

Merck & Co. Inc. d/b/a Merck, Sharp & Dohme Corporation X

**Novartis Corporation** 

Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation

Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.

Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Inc.

Novartis Consumer Health, Inc.

Pfizer, Inc.

- The Procter & Gamble Company X
- X The Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company

Takeda Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.

Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc. f/k/a Takeda Global Research & Development Center, Inc.

Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited

Other(s) Defendant(s) (please identify):

### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

## **Jurisdiction:**

- 6. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
  - □ Diversity of Citizenship
- Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure).

### Venue:

7. District Court(s) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed this *Short Form Complaint* absent Case Management Order No. 7 entered by this Court and/or to where remand could be ordered: <u>United States District Court for the Eastern</u> District of Missouri.

### **CASE SPECIFIC FACTS**

- 8. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): <u>Park Hills, MO</u>.
- 9. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent used PPI Product(s) during the following time period: for various dates and years prior to and/or including the date(s) of plaintiff's injury or injuries.
- 10. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following PPI Products, for which claims are being asserted:

Dexilant

x Nexium

		Nexium 24HR
		Prevacid
		Prevacid 24HR
	<u>X</u>	Prilosec
		Prilosec OTC
		Protonix
		Other (List All):
11.	The ir	njuries suffered by Plaintiff/Decedent as a result of the use of PPI Products
include,	amon	g others that will be set forth in Plaintiff's discovery responses and medical
records:		
		Acute Interstitial Nephritis (AIN)
	<u>X</u>	Acute Kidney Injury (AKI)
	<u>X</u>	Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)
		End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)

- <u>x</u> Other(s) (please specify): <u>renal insufficiency/failure and related symptoms</u>,
  <u>RAHS</u>, in some cases dialysis, and injuries not yet known, realized or identified.
- 12. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis of injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State): <u>Park Hills, MO</u>.

# **CAUSES OF ACTION**

Dialysis

Death

13. Plaintiff(s), again, hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the Master

Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand as if fully set forth herein.

- 14. The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master *Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein more specifically adopted and incorporated by reference by Plaintiff(s) please check all that apply):
  - ☑ Count I: Strict Product Liability

  - ☑ Count III: Strict Product Liability Failure to Warn
  - ĭ Count IV: Negligence
  - ☑ Count V: Negligence *Per Se*
  - ☑ Count VI: Breach of Express Warranty
  - ☑ Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty
  - ☑ Count VIII: Negligent Misrepresentation
  - **☒** Count IX: Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation
  - **☒** Count X: Fraudulent Concealment
  - ☑ Count XI: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State(s) of:

# MO and throughout the United States

- ☐ Count XII: Loss of Consortium
- ☐ Count XIII: Wrongful Death
- ☐ Count XIV: Survival Action
- Example 2 Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph five (5) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings,

the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

Design Defect, Failure to Test and theories applicable under law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand*, as appropriate.

### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: April 15, 2019.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/E. Samuel Geisler

E. Samuel Geisler

Neil D. Overholtz (FL Bar No.: 188761)

Noverholtz@awkolaw.com

E. Samuel Geisler (FL Bar No.: 83817)

Sgeisler@awkolaw.com

Nathan C. Bess (FL Bar No.: 0051945)

Nbess@awkolaw.com

Jennifer M. Hoekstra (LA Bar No.: 31476)

Jhoekstra@awkolaw.com

Caitlyn Miller (Florida Bar No.: 126097)

Cmiller@awkolaw.com

Samantha Katen (NC Bar No.: 39143)

Skaten@awkolaw.com

James D. Barger (NJ Bar No. 036922010)

Jbarger@awkolaw.com

Lydia Lucius (MO Bar No. 70201)

Llucius@awkolaw.com

Chelsie Warner (WA Bar No. 52451)

Cwarner@awkolaw.com

Daniel J. Thornburgh (FL Bar No.: 42661)

Dthornburgh@awkolaw.com Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, FL 32502-5998 Telephone: (850) 202-1010

Fax: (850) 916-7449

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF